



FEDERAL COMPLIANCE OVERVIEW

Effective for Federal Compliance Reviews beginning September 1, 2018

INTRODUCTION

As part of its federal recognition, HLC is required by the U.S. Department of Education to assure that all of its affiliated institutions are complying with the expectations of specific federal regulations. HLC is also required to review institutions' compliance with their Title IV program responsibilities. Compliance with these requirements by both institutions and HLC is necessary to ensure that institutions accredited by HLC are eligible for federal financial aid. In addition, HLC policy regarding Federal Compliance Requirements for institutions states that institutions must meet these requirements in order to be accredited by HLC.

The U.S. Department of Education continues to define and to implement statutory requirements for accredited colleges and universities in the Higher Education Act. Those changes are reflected in the policies and explanations provided below.

WHEN FEDERAL COMPLIANCE IS REVIEWED

HLC reviews an institution's compliance with federal requirements at multiple points in the accreditation relationship. Federal Compliance Reviews are conducted as part of the following evaluations:

- Comprehensive evaluations that take place during the cycle of each Pathway for Reaffirmation of Accreditation:
 - Standard Pathway: Year 4 and Year 10

- AQIP Pathway: Year 8 and possibly Year 4 Comprehensive Quality Review (if required by HLC or requested by the institution)
- Open Pathway: Year 10
- Comprehensive evaluations for institutions applying for candidacy or initial accreditation
- Sanction visits for institutions on Probation (except if Probation is extended) and Show Cause
- Advisory visits arising from questions of compliance with one or more federal requirements

PROCEDURE FOR INSTITUTIONS

1. Institutions submit the *Federal Compliance Filing by Institutions* form, the *Worksheet on the Assignment of Credit Hours and Clock Hours* and appendices before their on-site visit by a team of HLC peer reviewers. These materials should be uploaded to the "Forms" tab in the Assurance System as a single, bookmarked PDF document prior to the institution's lock date. The filing form and worksheet can be downloaded from HLC's website at hlcommission.org/federal-compliance.

Note: HLC will make the Federal Compliance documents available in the Assurance System six months before the institution's lock date. HLC recommends that institutions begin compiling the necessary documentation at that point.

2. The Federal Compliance Reviewer will contact the Accreditation Liaison Officer (ALO) after the Federal Compliance materials are received to request sample course and program materials as well as any other documents needed to conduct the review.
3. Since the peer review team will finalize the work of the Federal Compliance reviewer during the visit, the team may also request additional supporting documentation from the institution during the visit.
4. The institution will have an opportunity to review the worksheets and respond to the findings with the rest of the draft team report.

PROCEDURE FOR PEER REVIEWERS

FEDERAL COMPLIANCE REVIEWERS

1. The Federal Compliance materials will be accessible once the institution's Assurance Filing has been locked in the Assurance System and released to the reviewers, no later than four weeks in advance of the visit. The Federal Compliance reviewer will receive an email from the system when this occurs. The reviewer must log into the Assurance System and locate the institution's materials in the "Forms" tab.
2. The reviewer evaluates the institution's materials using the *Federal Compliance Worksheet for Federal Compliance Reviewers and Evaluation Teams* and the *Team Worksheet for Evaluating an Institution's Assignment of Credit Hours and Clock Hours*. The worksheets can be downloaded from HLC's website at hlcommission.org/federal-compliance. As soon as possible, the reviewer must contact the institution's ALO to request sample course and program materials needed to complete the review.
3. The reviewer completes each section of the worksheets by selecting a conclusion and providing a rationale. The rationale must fully support the conclusion, particularly if the conclusion is negative and the reviewer recommends follow-up. The rationale should clearly explain what improvement is needed as well as how HLC would determine the institution has resolved the issue.

4. At least one week before the visit, the reviewer sends the completed worksheets, in an editable format, to his or her team chair, referring any issues to the peer review team for further exploration and confirmation during the visit. Reviewers can locate their team chair's email address in the Assurance System.

TEAM CHAIRS

1. HLC will list the Federal Compliance reviewer's name in the visit description on the Evaluation Summary Sheet. Team chairs are strongly encouraged to confer with the Federal Compliance reviewer and to include the Federal Compliance reviewer in team conference calls prior to the visit, as warranted.
2. While conducting the visit, the peer review team verifies that the Federal Compliance information they have received from the Federal Compliance reviewer is accurate and complete; requests additional documentation from the institution, if needed; and finalizes the information in the two team worksheets. The team must verify that it has conducted a thorough review of the institution's compliance with federal requirements.
3. The team chair is ultimately responsible for finalizing the two worksheets and including them with the team report for review. The team chair must email the draft of the worksheets to the HLC staff liaison for review and then to the institution for corrections of errors of fact. After all reviews are complete, the team chair will email the final worksheets in PDF format to finalreports@hlcommission.org.

FEDERAL REGULATION POLICIES

This section outlines the requirements established by HLC to ensure that it and its affiliated institutions comply with federal regulations. It provides the text of applicable HLC policies, as well as the text of other relevant standards and guidelines. In addition, the section contains an explanation of each requirement and links to related materials, including HLC forms and procedures. The section also notes the specific

Assumed Practices and Core Components of the Criteria for Accreditation that are related to each requirement and that institutions must ultimately satisfy in order to receive accreditation.

ASSIGNMENT OF CREDITS, PROGRAM LENGTH AND TUITION

POLICY NUMBER FDCR.A.10.020

An institution shall be able to equate its learning experiences with semester or quarter credit hours using practices common to institutions of higher education, to justify the lengths of its programs in comparison to similar programs found in accredited institutions of higher education, and to justify any program-specific tuition in terms of program costs, program length, and program objectives. Affiliated institutions shall notify the Commission of any significant changes in the relationships among credits, program length, and tuition.

Assignment of Credit Hours. The institution's assignment and award of credit hours shall conform to commonly accepted practices in higher education. Those institutions seeking, or participating in, Title IV federal financial aid, shall demonstrate that they have policies determining the credit hours awarded to courses and programs in keeping with commonly-accepted practices and with the federal definition of the credit hour, as reproduced herein for reference only, and that institutions also have procedures that result in an appropriate awarding of institutional credit in conformity with the policies established by the institution.

Federal Credit Hour Definition: *A credit hour is an amount of work represented in intended learning outcomes and verified by evidence of student achievement that is an institutionally-established equivalency that reasonably approximates not less than:*

(1) one hour of classroom or direct faculty instruction and a minimum of two hours of out-of-class student work each week for approximately fifteen weeks for one semester or trimester hour of credit, or ten to twelve weeks for one quarter hour of credit, or the equivalent amount of work over a different amount of time; or (2) at least an equivalent amount of work as required in paragraph (1) of this definition for other activities as

established by an institution, including laboratory work, internships, practica, studio work, and other academic work leading to the award of credit hours.
34CFR 600.2 (11/1/2010)

Commission Review. The Commission shall review the assignment of credit hours, program length, and tuition in conjunction with a comprehensive evaluation for reaffirmation of accreditation during the Commission's assurance process. The Commission may sample or use other techniques to review specific institutional programs ensure that it has reviewed reliability and accuracy of the institution's assignment of credit. The Commission shall monitor, through its established monitoring processes, the resolution of any concerns identified during that evaluation with regard to the awarding of academic credit, program length, or tuition, and shall require that an institution remedy any deficiency in this regard by a date certain but not to exceed two years from the date of the action identifying the deficiency.

Commission Action for Systematic Noncompliance. In addition to taking appropriate action related to the institution's compliance with the Federal Compliance Requirements, the Commission shall notify the Secretary of Education if, following any review process identified above or through any other mechanism, the Commission finds systematic noncompliance with the Commission's policies in this section regarding the awarding of academic credit.

The Commission shall understand systematic noncompliance to mean that an institution lacks policies to determine the appropriate awarding of academic credit or that there is an awarding by an institution of institutional credit across multiple programs or divisions or affecting significant numbers of students not in conformity with the policies established by the institution or with commonly accepted practices in higher education.

Explanation of This Requirement

HLC's intent is (1) to review an institution's policies regarding the award of credit; (2) to determine whether the institution follows those policies in practice; and (3) to determine whether the allocation of credit by the institution is in keeping with the federal definition of the credit hour and whether an institution with courses in alternative formats has and

follows policies that are consistent with commonly accepted practice in higher education in the United States.

Institutions should make sure that they have a policy at the institutional or departmental level that explains how credit hours are allocated to courses and programs. The policy should be in writing and take into account the federal definition of the credit hour, as well as commonly accepted practice in higher education. Such policies are typically expected to distinguish the various levels of learning that the institution offers, such as graduate and undergraduate, and may distinguish among departments or disciplines. An institution's policy should take into account such matters as practica, clinical rotations, compressed terms and distance delivery.

Institutions with fairly standard semester or quarter systems and credit hour allocations are likely to find that looking at their policy on credit hours, catalog and sample syllabi is the easiest approach to documenting compliance. However, institutions that provide instruction through online, alternative, compressed or other formats should also have policies that expressly address how that learning is determined, organized and evaluated, and how the institution goes about determining instructional equivalencies. Such institutions should consider incorporating learning objectives and actual student outcomes in allocating credit hour values.

The institution should be able to demonstrate that it assigns credit hours to courses following institutional policy in a reasonable and systematic way, taking into consideration the instructional time provided to students and the calendar format. Degree programs should have overall credit hour requirements. These credit hour assignments and degree program requirements should fit within the current range of good practice in higher education.

In addition, the institution should set tuition consistently across degree programs. If tuition differs for a particular program or programs, the institution should be able to justify that difference based on costs for offering that degree, the length of the program, or the objectives of the program.

Should the institution plan to make any significant change to credit hour assignments or degree program requirements, the institution is required to seek HLC approval prior to making that change. The institution should review the [application for approval of a substantive change in clock or credit hours](#) on HLC's website for more information.



Related HLC Requirements:

Core Component 3.A.
Assumed Practice B.1.

INSTITUTIONAL RECORDS OF STUDENT COMPLAINTS

POLICY NUMBER FDCR.A.10.030

An institution shall make available an account of the student complaints it has received, its processing of those complaints, and how that processing comports with the institution's policies and procedures on the handling of grievances or complaints.

Explanation of This Requirement

The institution is free to design a complaint-tracking process that best fits its needs. Whatever approach the institution takes, the institution should show that it has in a timely manner received, tracked and processed student complaints filed since the last comprehensive evaluation or other evaluation in which HLC formally reviewed the institution's compliance with federal requirements (e.g., a Show-Cause evaluation, certain advisory visits, etc.).

Moreover, the institution should be able to demonstrate that it identifies and learns from the information provided in student complaints. The institution and team may also receive student complaints filed with HLC prior to the evaluation visit.



Related HLC Requirements:

Core Component 2.A.
Assumed Practices A.3. and A.4.

PUBLICATION OF TRANSFER POLICIES **POLICY NUMBER FDCR.A.10.040**

Each institution shall determine its own policies and procedures for accepting transfer credits, including credits from accredited and non-accredited institutions, from foreign institutions, and from institutions that grant credit for experiential learning and for non-traditional adult learner programs in conformity with any expectations in the Commission's Assumed Practices. An institution's periodic review of its transfer policies and procedures should include evaluation of their clarity to those who administer them, to the students who follow them, and to employers and other stakeholders. It should also include the consistency of their interpretation and application throughout the institution, as well as their responsiveness to new types of learning opportunities outside institutions of higher education.

An institution shall demonstrate that it has transfer policies that are publicly disclosed and that such policies include a statement of criteria established by the institution regarding transfer of credit earned at another institution. An institution shall also demonstrate that it publishes a list of institutions or programs with which the institution has established articulation agreements to receive and send credit.

Explanation of This Requirement

The institution must disclose its transfer policies to students and to the public. Its policies should contain information about the criteria the institution uses to make transfer of credit decisions. The institution must also list information about its articulation agreements with other institutions. The information the institution provides should include any program-specific articulation agreements in place and should clearly identify program-specific articulation agreements as such. Also, the information the institution provides should list the specific credits that articulate through the agreement (e.g., general education only; pre-professional nursing courses only; etc.) and include whether the articulation agreement anticipates that the institution under HLC review does the following:

1. Accepts credits from the other institution(s) through the articulation agreement.
2. Sends credits to the other institution(s) through the articulation agreement.

3. Both offers and accepts credits with the institution(s) in the articulation agreement.

In addition, its transfer policies must also meet the requirements for the description of transfer policies outlined in 34 CFR §668.43(a)(11) of the Code of Federal Regulations, which is reproduced here for reference:

(11) A description of the transfer of credit policies established by the institution which must include a statement of the institution's current transfer of credit policies that includes, at a minimum—

(i) Any established criteria the institution uses regarding the transfer of credit earned at another institution; and

(ii) A list of institutions with which the institution has established an articulation agreement.



Related HLC Requirements:
Core Component 2.A.
Assumed Practice A.5.D.

PRACTICES FOR VERIFICATION OF STUDENT IDENTITY

POLICY NUMBER FDCR.A.10.050

An institution offering distance education or correspondence education, as specified in the federal definitions reproduced herein solely for reference, shall have processes through which the institution establishes that the student who registers in the distance education or correspondence education courses or programs is the same student who participates in and completes and receives the academic credit.

Definitions:

Distance education/course means education that uses one or more of the {following} technologies (i) to deliver instruction to students who are separated from the instructor: and (ii) to support regular and substantive interaction between the students and the instructor, synchronously or asynchronously. The technologies used may include: (i) the internet; (ii) one way and two way transmissions through open broadcast, closed circuit, cable, microwave, broadband lines, fiber optics, satellite, or wireless communications devices; (iii) audioconferencing; or (iv) videocassettes, DVDs, and CD-Roms, if

the videocassettes, DVDs or CD-Roms are used in conjunction with any of the technologies listed in clauses (i) through (iii).

Correspondence education/course means: (1) Education provided through one or more courses by an institution under which the institution provides instructional materials, by mail or electronic transmission, including examinations on the materials, to students who are separated from the instructor. (2) Interaction between the instructor and the student is not regular and substantive, and is primarily initiated by the student. (3) Correspondence courses are typically self-paced. (4) Correspondence education is not distance education. 34CFR 602.3 (11/1/2010)

Institutional Practices. In verifying the identify of students who participate in class or coursework the institution may make use of a variety of methods at the option of the institution, including but not limited to: (1) secure login and pass code; (2) proctored examinations; and (3) new or other technologies and practices that are effective in verifying the identity of students. Such methods must have reasonable and appropriate safeguards to protect student privacy. Institutions must notify students at the time of registration or enrollment of any projected additional student charges associated with the verification of student identity such as separate fees charged by proctoring services, etc.

Commission Review. The Commission will review an institution’s student identity verification protocols when an institution requests permission to add programs in distance delivery as well as during a comprehensive evaluation. The Commission will also require that institutions submit information about student identity verification protocols on the Commission’s Institutional Update.

Explanation of This Requirement

Institutions must verify the identity of students who participate in courses or programs provided through distance or correspondence education. The institution may use a variety of approaches to verify student identity, such as those mentioned in the policy. Additionally, if the method by which the institution verifies student identity will incur a cost to the student (such as a fee for a proctored exam), the institution

must disclose that cost to the student at the time of registration or enrollment. The institution must also demonstrate that it is making reasonable efforts to protect student privacy in verifying student identity.

Note that the definitions of distance and correspondence education for the purpose of verifying student identity are the federal definitions and are quoted in the HLC policy for reference.



Related HLC Requirement:
Core Component 2.A.

TITLE IV PROGRAM RESPONSIBILITIES POLICY NUMBER FDCR.A.10.060

An institution shall demonstrate that it complies if required with the Title IV program responsibility requirements of the Higher Education Reauthorization Act as most recently amended. Therefore, institutions will provide for Commission review any documents concerning the institution’s program responsibilities under Title IV of the Act, including any results of financial or compliance audits and program reviews, audits reports by the Office of Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Education, and any other information related to its fulfillment of its Title IV responsibilities.

Default rate. An institution shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that its students do not take on excessive debt either through federal or private loans. An institution shall also demonstrate that it is appropriately fulfilling its Title IV responsibilities to manage its student loan program, to minimize student default on such loans, and to provide accurate information to the U.S. Department of Education when required in conjunction with its loan program. Therefore, an institution will submit to the Commission information about its participation in federal and private loan programs as well as its three-year Title IV default rates and any default reduction plans provided to the U.S. Department of Education.

Explanation of This Requirement

The institution is expected to provide HLC with information regarding each of the following components:

- General Program Responsibilities
- Financial Responsibility Requirements
- Default Rates
- Campus Crime Information, Athletic Participation and Financial Aid, and Related Disclosures
- Student Right to Know/Equity in Athletics
- Satisfactory Academic Progress and Attendance Policies
- Contractual Relationships
- Consortial Relationships

The institutional staff members compiling this information should work with the financial aid office and the chief financial officer or comptroller.

REQUIRED INFORMATION FOR STUDENTS AND THE PUBLIC

POLICY NUMBER FDCR.A.10.070—PUBLIC INFORMATION

Required Information for Students and the Public

An institution demonstrates that it makes available to students and the public fair, accurate and complete information in catalogs, student handbooks, and other publications that include, at a minimum, information about the institution’s calendar, grading, admissions, academic program requirements, tuition and fees, and refund policies.

Explanation of This Requirement

Institutions should disclose certain information to students and members of the public in publications that are readily available to these constituents and in clear language.



Related HLC Requirements:
Core Components 2.A. and 2.B.
Assumed Practice A.5.

ADVERTISING AND RECRUITMENT MATERIALS AND OTHER PUBLIC INFORMATION

POLICY NUMBER FDCR.A.10.070—PUBLIC INFORMATION

Advertising and Recruiting Materials and Other Public Information

An institution’s public information including its advertising and recruiting materials shall evidence the same fairness and accuracy the Commission expects in an institution’s catalog and other documents for students.

Disclosure of Affiliation Status

If the institution chooses to reference its accreditation status in advertising and recruiting materials or other document or location, that disclosure will accurately explain its status with the Commission and the academic programs, locations and other institutional activities included in its accreditation.

It will accompany that reference with information on how to contact the Commission. It shall provide the Commission’s address and telephone number or it may use the Commission’s website address in lieu of this information. Electronic materials shall use the Commission’s collective membership mark.

The Commission reserves the right to issue a public statement or Public Disclosure Notice (PDN) correcting any incorrect or misleading information the Commission determines that an institution has publicized about its accreditation status, recent actions by the Commission or other information.

Explanation of This Requirement

An institution must provide clear and accurate information in its advertising and recruiting materials, including information about its relationship with HLC and other accrediting agencies. In any place where the institution discloses its relationship with HLC, the institution must also provide contact information for HLC. Institutions must use HLC’s Mark of Affiliation in at least one place on their websites.



Related HLC Requirements:
Core Component 2.B.
Assumed Practices A.5. and A.7.

REVIEW OF STUDENT OUTCOME DATA POLICY NUMBER FDCR.A.10.080

An institution shall demonstrate that, wherever applicable to its programs, its consideration of outcome data in evaluating the success of its students and its programs includes course completion, job placement, and licensing examination information.

Explanation of This Requirement

Institutions must demonstrate that they collect and review information about how students perform in courses in a program and whether, subsequent to the successful completion of the program, students are able to obtain employment in the field in which they studied or pass required licensing exams. Institutions must also demonstrate that they use this information to determine whether they are successful in meeting their missions and educational objectives.



Related HLC Requirements:

Core Components 4.A.–C.
Assumed Practices C.6. and C.7.

PUBLICATION OF STUDENT OUTCOME DATA

POLICY NUMBER FDCR.A.10.070

Information About Student Achievement

An institution's information for students and the public shall include information regarding student achievement. This information shall include student retention rates, completion rates or other information appropriate for the mission of the institution and its goals for students.

Explanation of This Requirement

In fulfillment of the Council for Higher Education Accreditation's (CHEA's) standard of accountability for accrediting organizations, HLC requires its affiliated institutions to demonstrate that they make student outcome data easily accessible to the public. These data should be available on the institution's website and should be clearly labeled as such. Any technical terms in the data should be defined, and any necessary information on the method used to compile the data should be included. Data may be provided at the institutional or department level or both, but the institution must disclose student outcome data that address the broad variety of its programs.

STANDING WITH STATE AND OTHER ACCREDITING AGENCIES

POLICY NUMBER FDCR.A.10.090

An institution has a responsibility to remain in good standing with each state in which it is authorized or licensed as well as with any other institutional or programmatic accrediting agency recognized by the U.S. Department of Education by which it is accredited or pre-accredited up to the point that it voluntarily withdraws from such relationships. An institution shall fairly represent to the Commission and to the public its history or current or previous status with other institutional or programmatic accrediting bodies and with each state in which it is authorized or licensed.

An institution shall disclose to the Commission any pending or final state actions that affects the institution's legal status or authority to grant degrees or offer programs and any pending or final actions by an accrediting agency to withdraw accredited or pre-accredited status, impose a sanction or deny an application for such status. Such disclosure shall take place at the time of the action by the other entity and on the Commission's Institutional Update as well as in preparation for a comprehensive evaluation by the Commission.

Commission Review. If another such accrediting agency or if a state has taken any of these actions, the Commission will undertake a prompt review of the institution and the related action.

With regard to an applying institution, the Commission, through its decision-making processes and subject to the limitations in the Eligibility Requirements, will carefully weigh these matters in reaching its own decision to grant candidacy or accreditation. If it chooses to grant candidacy or initial accreditation to such an institution, it will provide the Secretary of Education a written explanation of why that action is appropriate within thirty days of taking the action.

With regard to an accredited institution, the Commission will determine whether additional review or Commission action, including sanction or withdrawal of accreditation, is appropriate. The Commission may undertake its review in any way provided for in Commission policy.

Explanation of This Requirement

An institution must disclose to HLC its relationship with any other specialized, professional or institutional accreditor and with all governing or coordinating bodies in states in which the institution may have a presence. Information about those relationships should inform HLC reviewers in evaluating the institution's capacity to meet the Criteria for Accreditation.



Related HLC Requirements:

Core Component 2.B.

Assumed Practices A.7. and C.4.

PUBLIC NOTIFICATION OF OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT

POLICY NUMBER FDCR.A.10.070—PUBLIC INFORMATION

Public Notification of Opportunity to Comment

The Commission shall seek comment from third parties about institutions being evaluated for accreditation or candidacy. As part of the comprehensive evaluation, institutions shall publicize the forthcoming evaluation in accordance with established Commission procedures regarding content, dissemination, and timing.

Explanation of This Requirement

HLC seeks public comments on institutions as part of its comprehensive evaluations. The institution is responsible for publishing a notice about the visit to its constituents no later than six months before the peer review team's on-site visit and instructing constituents that they can send comments to HLC. (See HLC's [Procedure on Third-Party Comments](#).) HLC staff members will collect the comments and forward them to the leadership of the institution and the evaluation team one month before the visit. The comments are intended to encourage participation in the accreditation activity from multiple constituents and typically form only a basis for further inquiry about appropriate issues from the team. Decisions made by the team should rest on information gained from the visit itself.

COMPETENCY-BASED PROGRAMS, INCLUDING DIRECT ASSESSMENT PROGRAMS, AND FACULTY-STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

POLICY NUMBER FDCR.A.10.020—ASSIGNMENT OF CREDIT HOURS

See page 3 for policy text.

Explanation of This Requirement

On an ongoing basis, peer reviewers must verify for any competency-based education programs (including credit-based, direct assessment or hybrid programs) offered by an institution and previously approved by HLC that students and faculty communicate on some regular basis that is at least equivalent to contact in a traditional classroom, and that in the tasks mastered to assure competency, faculty and students interact about critical thinking, analytical skills, and written and oral communication abilities, as well as about substantive matters such as core ideas, important theories, current knowledge, etc. In addition, peer reviewers must also verify that the credit hour equivalencies for these programs are appropriate, and credit hour information about these programs should be included on the *Worksheet on the Assignment of Credit Hours and Clock Hours*.

Additional guidelines for institutions interested in ensuring that competency-based education programs are eligible for Title IV are provided in the [Competency-Based Education Program application](#) available on HLC's website.